

λコンピューティング環境における 共有メモリシステムの実現手法の提案と評価

中本 博久[†] 馬場 健一^{††} 村田 正幸[†]

[†] 大阪大学 大学院情報科学研究科 〒 565-0871 吹田市山田丘 1-5

^{††} 大阪大学 サイバーメディアセンター 〒 567-0047 茨木市美穂ヶ丘 5-1

E-mail: [†]{h-nakamt,murata}@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp, ^{††}baba@cmc.osaka-u.ac.jp

あらまし ネットワークノードや計算機群を光ファイバで接続したフォトニックネットワーク上に仮想チャネルをメッシュ状に張ることにより、グリッド計算など新しい応用技術に必要な、高速かつ、高信頼な通信パイプをエンドユーザに提供することができる。これを λコンピューティング環境と呼び提案している。本稿では、λコンピューティング環境上に仮想光リングを構成し、光リング上にデータを載せることにより、波長を仮想的な共有メモリとして利用することを考える。結果として、広域分散システムにおける共有メモリと通信チャネルの区別の必要がなくなり、計算機間的高速なデータ交換が可能になる。この共有メモリを用いて並列計算を行う際のメモリアクセスの競合回避方法、データの一貫性制御、同期方法の提案、評価を行う。並列計算用のアプリケーションプログラムを用いたシミュレーションを行い、広域な光リング上での共有メモリシステムの有効性を示す。

キーワード λコンピューティング環境、光リングネットワーク、共有メモリ、メモリアクセス競合、キャッシュの整合性

Proposal and Evaluation of Realization Approach for a Shared Memory System in λ Computing Environment

Hirohisa NAKAMOTO[†], Ken-ichi BABA^{††}, and Masayuki MURATA[†]

[†] Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

^{††} Cybermedia Center, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan

E-mail: [†]{h-nakamt,murata}@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp, ^{††}baba@cmc.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract In this paper, we propose a new computing environment (which we will refer to λ computing environment) that provides a base for parallel computing among nodes distributed in the wide area. In our concept, virtual channels are provided utilizing optical networks connecting computing nodes. It can offer high-speed and reliable connection pipe among nodes, so that it is efficiently applicable to SAN (Storage Area Network) and/or Grid computing. In the environment, shared memory is constituted on a virtual ring of the photonic network. Consequently, it is not necessary to distinguish shared memory in a wide-area distributed system from a communication channel; thus high-speed data exchange between computing nodes on the ring can be achieved. The key to realizing such a computing environment is how to construct a shared memory system on the photonic ring. In this paper, we propose and evaluate a shared memory system suitable to the virtual optical ring network, which takes into account contention resolution, cache coherency, and synchronization methods because the propagation delays among nodes are much larger than the conventional shared memory system. Through simulation experiments of using three benchmark programs as representative parallel computing applications, we show the applicability of our shared memory system on the wide-area virtual photonic ring.

Key words λ computing environment, photonic ring network, shared memory, memory access contention, cache coherency

1. Introduction

As users of networks such as the Internet have increased, so has

the amount of traffic steadily increased. Various applications that utilize images have come to be used, and the demands made on the technology that enables the high speed and large scale trans-

mission in a network have increased. To satisfy these demands, research into optical transmission technology has been actively pursued. Research into WDM technologies that use multiplexed light wavelengths have been the main target for development and technology from new WDM research that can use 1000 wavelengths has also been advanced [1]. In recent years, IP over a WDM network has been studied and developed to provide high-speed transmission on the Internet based on WDM technology. Moreover, standardization of the routing technology of the Internet, called GMPLS, which is the communication technology that uses various optical technologies for a lower layer than the WDM technology, has also been advanced in IETF [2]. Further, aiming to realize the true IP communication of a photonic network, research into optical packet switches based on optical technology has also begun [3, 4].

However, many such technologies presuppose the existing Internet technology. That is, an IP packet is treated as a degree of granule treating information, and it is made into the target for research and development of how to carry it at high speed on a network. Therefore, as long as architecture based on packet switching technology is focused on, realization of high quality communication to each connection will be very difficult. New technologies such as SAN and Grid computing need to be applied to provide end users with a high speed and reliable communication pipe; for that, a mass wavelength path needs to be set up between end users and provided for users. That is, it is possible to provide an end user with a ultra high-speed and high quality communication pipe by building a photonic network that uses established fibers, or newly laid fiber if needed, and by utilizing wavelengths multiplexed in the fiber as the minimum particle size for information exchanges.

Thus we propose a new architecture, the λ computing environment that has virtual channels utilizing optical fibers connecting computing nodes. In the conventional Grid environment, data is exchanged with the message passing using TCP/IP. In the λ computing environment, by realizing communication between nodes on the Grid not by conventional TCP/IP but by established wavelength paths, we can achieve highly reliable high-speed communication. Then, by making virtual channels on a mesh upon the photonic network that is connected to the network nodes and the computer nodes with optical fibers, distributed computation on a high speed channel is enabled. Moreover, it is possible to utilize wavelengths as a shared memory by constituting a virtual ring in the λ computing environment [5]. As a result, it is not necessary to distinguish shared memory from communication channels in a wide-area distributed system; and we expect that the high-speed data exchange between computing nodes can be achieved (see Fig. 1). In our research group, we also use wavelengths as a high-speed transmission channel and implemented high-speed access method to the shared memory that exists on each computing node [6].

In this paper, we propose and evaluate an approach to realize a shared memory system using a virtual optical ring network. Specifically our shared memory system uses a level-1 cache in a CPU of each computer group as the cache of such a shared memory. When using the virtual ring as a shared memory, it is necessary, unlike a bus between a CPU and the shared memory in a computer, to consider restrictions in timing and the frequency of access, since the shared memory is spread out on a long-distance optical fiber; so to take into consideration coherency between the shared memory in the virtual ring and the cache of each computer group more strictly than the conventional shared memory system. Next we have to solve the contention of shared memory access like a conventional shared memory system. This problem arises in cases where a processor has not finished writing access to the data on the shared memory, and




Fig. 1 λ Computing Environment.




Fig. 2 Network Model.

another processor tries to read or write to the same data. When we perform parallel computation on shared memory using a virtual optical ring network, synchronization is needed to collaborate between computing nodes. However, it is not necessary to distinguish the shared memory in a wide-area distributed system from a communication channel so that it appears that the high-speed data exchange between computing nodes is achieved. As noted above, after considering such features, we propose a shared memory access method for the λ computing environment, and evaluate the method through simulations.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we propose a realization approach for a shared memory system in the λ computing environment. Specifically, we describe how to resolve the cache coherency problem, memory access contention, and synchronization between computing nodes of the λ computing environment. In Section 3, we evaluate our approach using a benchmark program for parallel computing. Finally, we conclude the paper and describe future work in Section 4.

2. Realization Approach for a Shared Memory System in the λ Computing Environment

In this section, we explain an approach for realizing a shared memory system in the λ computing environment. Firstly, we describe our network model. Like a conventional shared memory system, we have to realize cache coherency, avoidance of memory access contention, and synchronization between computing nodes to enable collaboration. We also describe how to resolve these points needing consideration.

2.1 Network Model on Consideration

We show a network model in Fig. 2. Computing nodes that compose the λ computing environment are connected with optical fibers that make a virtual ring network. In this paper, I presuppose that each computing node has one CPU, a level-1 cache, and a local




Fig. 3 Cache Control Scheme and Data Flows.

memory. A local memory is used for storage of programming codes and local data, a shared memory is used for storage of the shared data that all computing nodes use in computing and a synchronous memory is used for synchronization between computing nodes. An optical ring network has a wavelength path for shared memory, a wavelength path for control signals and a wavelength path for synchronous memory. The bandwidth of an optical ring for shared memory is set to 1Tbps. Since propagation delay time is 5 ns/m, we can use an optical ring network as a shared memory, of which the capacity is equivalent to 6.25MBytes. We usually use these parameters except otherwise explicitly stated. For comparative evaluation, we also use the model with 1km ring length and 10 number of rings in parallel, and with 100m ring length and 100 number of rings while these models might be unrealistic even in the near future in Sec. 3.3. The processing delay time in the interface of each computing node and middle nodes, such as network devices which constitute an optical ring network, is not explicitly taken into account here. Indeed, we assume that it is included in the propagation delay time.

Next, we show a configuration of each computing node and data flows between a computing node and wavelengths of an optical ring in Fig. 3. The local cache controller searches the data on the local cache when it receives read or write request to the data on the shared memory and the synchronous memory from the CPU. If the data requested by the CPU is not found in the local cache, the local cache controller commits the request from the CPU to the shared cache controller. The shared cache controller monitors wavelengths for control signals, a shared memory, and a synchronous memory. When it receives the request from the local cache controller, it reads or updates the data on the shared memory and the synchronous memory. And when it receives the control messages from other nodes, it changes the state of the cache block on a local cache to keep cache coherency.

2.2 Contention Avoidance

Like a conventional shared memory system, we have to solve contention to the shared and synchronous memories to realize a shared memory system in the λ computing environment. Contention to the shared and synchronous memories exists when a processor has not finished writing access to data on the shared memory, and another processor tries to read or write to the same data. To resolve this problem, we adopt a lock mechanism. That is, each computing node has to send a lock message using a control token before it tries to write or update data in state C on a local cache, and data on the shared memory or the synchronous memory. By using this mechanism, write access to the shared memory is protected. The approach to realize contention avoidance is explained in Sec. 2.3 along with cache coherency.




Fig. 4 State Transition Diagram.

2.3 Cache Coherency

When each processor has a cache, it is necessary to fully take into consideration the consistency between the data on the cache and the data on the shared memory. Two ways, a directory method and a snoop cache method, are techniques for generally maintaining cache coherency. In realizing shared memory on the λ computing environment, access to a directory table may become a bottleneck when a directory method is adopted. So a snoop cache method is adopted in this paper.

The snoop cache method offers cache consistency between data on caches and on the shared memory. It snoops memory access on a shared bus, and performs consistency control to a local cache block by the distributed technique if needed. When a processor tries to read data, firstly it searches in the local cache, and when data does not exist there, it accesses to the shared memory. When a processor tries to read or write to a shared memory, consistency control is not needed if another processor does not the same data in its local cache. However, if another processor has the same data in its local cache, some methods can be considered using a method to keep cache consistency. Moreover, when a processor writes or updates the data on its cache, keeping cache consistency becomes still more complicated and there are some ways to keep consistency.

Such cache consistency protocols are classified into four types according to the timing (write-through, write-back) and the method (invalidation, updating). Among those, a write-back invalidation protocol has the least shared memory access. When the access delay time to a shared memory is large, this protocol is very effective. So we adopt this protocol when we realize a shared memory system in the λ computing environment.

Thus, there are some write-back invalidation protocols; such as the Illinois [7] and Symmetry protocols [8]. We adopt the Illinois protocol because its consistency control is the simplest. In the Illinois protocol, data of a local cache has four states; Invalid (I), Clean Exclusive (CE), Clean Shared (CS) and Dirty (D). The I state means that data is invalidated and cannot be used, the CE state means that the data on the cache is the same value compared to the data on the shared memory and another processor does not have the same data, the CS state means that another processor has the same data in its local cache and the D state means that the data on cache is not the same value compared to the data on shared memory. However, the Illinois protocol presupposes the shared memory system using a shared bus. So we have to adapt Illinois protocol to the shared memory system in the λ computing environment.

So we propose a cache coherency protocol that solves cache coherency and contention to the shared and synchronous memories in the λ computing environment on the basis of the Illinois proto-

col. We show the state transition diagram of our proposed cache coherency protocol in Fig. 4. Hereafter, we only explain the processing of write access and how to resolve contention to the shared and synchronous memories in Fig. 4 because of lack of space. In our cache coherency protocol, five control messages are used. A line copy request message is sent by a computing node that tries to read the data when it does not have the data in its local cache. A line move request message is sent by a computing node that tries to write the data when it does not have the data in its local cache. Lock and invalidation request messages are sent by a computing node that tries to update the data in the CE or CS states or write the data in a I state. A lock request message is used to avoid contention to shared and synchronous memories. And an invalidation request message is used to keep that the cache block in the D state is only one among all computing nodes for maintaining cache coherency. An unlock request message is sent by a computing node that has finished updating or writing the data.

Next, we explain how a computing node updates the data on a local cache. If the state of the cache block is D (Fig. 4 (8)), it only updates the data on the local cache. If the state of the cache block is CE (Fig. 4 (6)), it updates the data on the local cache and changes the state of the cache block ($CE \rightarrow D$). If the state of cache block is CS (Fig. 4 (7)), contention avoidance processing is needed. After catching the control token, it has to check whether a lock request message of another computing node is attached to the control token. If a lock message is attached, it has to wait until an unlock message is sent and then restart the write processing. If a lock message is not attached, it attaches a lock request message and an invalidation request message to the control token. Then it updates the data on the local cache and changes the state of the cache block ($CS \rightarrow D$). Finally, it attaches an unlock request message to the control token after catching the control token.

Next, we explain how a computing node tries to write when it does not have the copy cache block on the local cache (Fig. 4 (9)). In this case, contention avoidance processing is also needed. A computing node searches the lock table. If the address of the cache block that it tries to write is registered in the lock table, it has to wait until an unlock request message is sent. If the address is not registered, it has to check whether a lock request message of other computing node is attached to the control token after catching the control token. If a lock message is attached, it has to wait until an unlock message is sent and then restart the write processing. If a lock message is not attached, it attaches a lock request message and a line move request message to the control token. It waits until the control token returns. If it receives a line copy ack, it has to wait until a cache block is sent by another computing node and read the cache block from the control token. Then it updates the cache block and changes the state of the cache block ($I \rightarrow D$). If it does not receive a line copy ack, it directly reads the cache block from the shared memory. Then it updates the cache block and changes the state of the cache block ($I \rightarrow D$). After updating the cache block, it attaches an unlock request message to the control token after catching the control token.

2.4 Synchronization between Computing Nodes

When we perform application programs of parallel computation on a shared memory system, synchronization between computing nodes is needed to collaborate. Kinds of synchronization include atomic operation, caching of synchronous variable, blocking control on a shared memory, a memory lock, and the barrier synchronization method. Barrier synchronization is used when each processor needs to wait until all processors reach to the same break point.

Application programs used for evaluation in this paper first calcu-

late locally and then after local calculation perform synchronization. In barrier synchronization, each computing node reads and updates the data of synchronous variable by turns. So a synchronous memory on an optical ring is suited to barrier synchronization because data is circling on the optical ring and each computing node can easily read and update the data on a synchronous memory by turn. So in this paper we adopt the barrier synchronization method.

Next, we explain the method for realizing barrier synchronization in the shared memory system using an optical ring network. First, part of the wavelength paths of an optical ring are allocated to the synchronous memory area. When a synchronous memory is accessed, a Fetch & Decrement operation like in the conventional method is indivisibly performed. That is, it ensures that access to a synchronous memory indivisibly causes a subtraction processing of the relevant data. Since only one computing node can simultaneously access the synchronous memory, when using an optical ring network for a synchronous memory, execution of an atomic operation is easy. With an application program, in bringing about synchronization among some computing nodes, each node accesses to the synchronous memory. The number of processors is set in the synchronous memory as the initial value. The value of the synchronous memory will be set to zero if all nodes access. If the value of a synchronous memory is set to zero, all nodes will finish the synchronous process and begin the next processing.

3. Performance Evaluation

In this section, we evaluate through simulation the performance of the shared memory access method proposed in the previous section. We utilized the ISIS library [9] currently developed at the Amano Laboratory of Keio University in coding the simulation program.

3.1 Simulation Model

We used the following network model. Each computing node in the λ computing environment is interconnected with optical fibers and nodes are configured to virtually form the ring topology. Each computing node has one CPU, a level-1 cache, and a local memory. Clock frequency of a CPU is 1GHz, the capacity of a level-1 cache is 512KB, and the capacity of a local memory is 2GByte. It assumes that computing nodes are put on the optical ring network with equal distances. An optical ring network has wavelength paths for shared memory, control signals, and synchronous memory.

The bandwidth of an optical ring for shared memory is set to 1Tbps. Since propagation delay time is 5 ns/m, we can use an optical ring network as a shared memory, of which the capacity is equivalent to 6.25MBytes. We usually use these parameters except otherwise explicitly stated. For comparative evaluation, we also use the model with 1km ring length and 10 number of rings in parallel, and with 100m ring length and 100 number of rings while these models might be unrealistic even in the near future. The processing delay time in the interface of each computing node and middle nodes, such as network devices which constitute an optical ring network, is not explicitly taken into account here. Indeed, we assume that it is included in the propagation delay time.

To evaluate the performance, we use a Splash2 benchmark program [10], such as the “radix sort” program that sorts the sequence of an integer value using a radix sort algorithm. We also use the “product of a matrix” program that calculates the product of $n \times n$ matrix and the “queen problem” program that solves the n-queen problem. See Table 1 for comparing the characteristic of memory accesses for these programs. The numbers show the order of frequencies in memory accesses of programs.

Table 1 Characteristics of Memory Accesses (ring length 10km).

	nodes	clocks	shared accesses	synchronous accesses	L1 hit
“radix sort” (16384 keys)	1	1239504563	116224	0	59.9622%
	2	1079750949	1296305	55	17.2424%
	4	772450953	4822926	131	16.6878%
	8	670200953	17821287	379	16.7660%
	16	865300953	71520173	1259	16.8651%
“product of a matrix” (128×128)	1	2048357893	81920	0	60.2431%
	2	2665750970	162124605	13	79.5476%
	4	2615251057	471528629	21	90.0370%
	8	2539751057	1086505926	37	95.2501%
	16	2506851057	2316505719	69	97.5408%
“queen problem” (32×32)	1	110045031	499250	0	30.4880%
	2	2494650912	8964784	619	15.6490%
	4	2325700916	8869410	1031	17.0453%
	8	2074550916	20263999	1855	15.9305%
	16	2107500916	35978138	3503	17.6546%

We note that sample programs that we are using in this paper are just intended to see how levels of parallelism affects the performance. In actual, the program could be clearly divided into independent tasks when applied to parallel computation, and we can enjoy a parallelism of computation by an increasing number of nodes, but some part of the entire program needs synchronization to an extent, and to effect on the performance depends on the problem. The three programs that we have chosen here are typical examples and have different characteristics as indicated in Table 1. Our intention here is to test whether the typical parallel algorithm can work well in a sense that total parallel execution time is not unacceptably increased and an introduction of parallelism does not only result in the increasing execution time.

3.2 Comparisons: Basic Results

We show results of execution time for each application program by setting the ring length to 10km. The number of execution clocks in CPU for the “radix sort” program is first shown in Fig. 5. The numbers of keys for sorting are set at 4096, 8192, and 16384. When the number of key is 4096, the advantage of parallel computation cannot be observed even if the number of computing nodes is increased. This is because the ratio of synchronous operation to total operation is large. However, as problem size becomes larger such as 8192, it turns out that the advantage of parallel computation appears. As the number of nodes exceeds some number (4 in the case that the key size is 8192), the execution time is gradually increased because the number of synchronization becomes large by an increasing number of nodes. When the sort key size is 16384, such a tendency becomes clearer. From these results, we found that the shared memory and access method for λ computing environment are effective in parallel computation for the “radix sort” program when the number of parallel nodes is not so large.

The case of “product of a matrix” program is next shown in Fig. 6. The matrix sizes are changed from 32×32 to 128×128 . The advantage of parallel computation becomes smaller in this case. It is because the number of accesses to the shared memory is large compared to other application programs as shown in Table 1, where the numbers of accesses to the shared memory are compared in three programs, and it compensates for introduction of parallel computation. However, it is still true that it does not introduce the additional delay if the “product of a matrix program” does not occupy the large portion of the entire program.

The same tendency can be observed in Fig. 7 where the “queen problem” program is considered. Its problem size is changed from 8×8 to 32×32 . In this case the number of synchronous accesses is much larger than other programs as shown in Table 1, where the numbers of accesses to the synchronous memory are compared in

three programs. However, we can again see that the execution time is at least not increased even if the number of nodes becomes large.

3.3 Effect of Increasing the Optical Ring Length for Parallel Computation

When ring length is 10km long, the access delay time to the shared memory and the synchronous memory are large and may be the main factor that compensates for introduction of parallel computation. And when ring length becomes shorter, the advantage of parallel computation may become clearer. Accordingly, we next investigate the effect of decreasing the ring length on parallel computation time. We use three values of ring lengths: 100m, 1km and 10km.

In the “radix sort” program, we can see that the results are almost same in three cases. This is because the radix sort program has the characteristic that the number of accesses to the shared memory is the smallest of all the application programs and the increasing the optical ring length does not compensate for introduction of parallel computation.

A different behavior is observed in using the “product of a matrix” program. See Figs. 6, 8, and 9. When the ring length was 10km (Fig. 6), there is no effect of parallel computation due to the access delay time is large much larger than the shared memory time. However, when the ring length is 1km (Fig. 8) and the matrix size is large enough (256×256), the effect clearly appears when the number of nodes is less than eight. It is because the hit ratio of the level-1 cache becomes high as the matrix size becomes large. Also, when the ring length is 100m (Fig. 9), the effect of parallelism is attained even if the matrix size is small (64×64 or 128×128). As we have already mentioned, the “product of a matrix program” has a characteristic that the number of accesses to the shared memory is the largest among all the application programs. See Table 1. Then when ring length is short, the effect of parallel computation is obtained. Moreover, the number of accesses to the synchronous memory is the smallest, and therefore, parallel computation can be easily improved as the number of nodes increases.

In the “queen problem” program, on the other hand, no advantage of parallel computation is obtained even if the ring length is changed. It is due to the largest number of synchronous accesses in three programs even though the accesses to the shared memory do not frequently occur.

3.4 Synchronization Improvement

As mentioned in Sec. 3.3, synchronization has a great influence on the performance of parallel computation. We thus propose a method to improve synchronization time. As mentioned in Sec. 2.4, we use barrier synchronization to enable collaboration between parallel nodes. The data used for barrier synchronization are stored on




Fig. 5 Processing time of “radix sort” program (ring length 10km).




Fig. 6 Processing time of “product of a matrix” program (ring length 10km).




Fig. 7 Processing time of “queen problem” program (ring length 10km).




Fig. 8 Processing time of “product of a matrix” program (ring length 1km).




Fig. 9 Processing time of “product of a matrix” program (ring length 100m).




Fig. 10 Speed up ratio of “queen problem” program by changing the caching policy of the synchronous memory (ring length 10km).

synchronous memory and the copy of data are stored on the local cache of the node. Since data used for barrier synchronization are referred by each node only once, the performance must be improved by not storing data on synchronous memory to the local cache. This is because cache coherency processing is not needed in this case, and it is sufficient for each node to read or write to synchronous memory only when data on synchronous memory are not stored in the local cache.

Lastly, we compare the performance of the above-mentioned caching policy to that of the original one. For this purpose, we present the speedup ratio of the new policy compared to the original one. Noting that the speedup ratio of the “radix sort” program and the “product of a matrix” program are small, we only show the speedup ratio for the “queen problem” program in Fig. 10. The ring length is assumed to be 10km. The larger speeding ratio can be obtained by the increasing number of nodes. It is due to the fact that the “queen problem” program requires the largest number of accesses to the synchronous memory among the programs that we have tested. Then, the effect of the synchronization mechanism that we have introduced in this subsection becomes clear.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed the shared memory access method in realizing the shared memory on photonic network. Moreover, we have evaluated the performance of the proposed method using the benchmark program for parallel computing. As a result, we show that the effectiveness of using optical ring as a shared memory and of parallel processing by the increase in the number of nodes when number of synchronous processing is small. We can see the future possibility of all-optical parallel computing environment in the wide-area. An efficient shared memory access method and a practical use of a local memory is due to be considered in the future.

References

- [1] M. Murata and K. Kitayama, “A 1,000-channel WDM network can resolve network bottleneck,” in *Proceedings of the 7th Asia-Pacific Conference on Communications (APCC 2001) (Tokyo)*, pp. 113–116, Sept. 2001.
- [2] E. L. Berger, “Generalized multi-protocol label switching (GMPLS) signaling functional description,” *IETF RFC3471*, Jan. 2003.
- [3] T. Yamaguchi, K. Baba, M. Murata, and K. Kitayama, “Packet scheduling for WDM fiber delay line buffers in photonic packet switches,” in *Proceedings of OptiComm 2002*, vol. 4874, pp. 262–273, July 2002.
- [4] K. Baba, R. Takemori, M. Murata, and K. Kitayama, “A packet scheduling algorithm for the 2x2 photonic packet switch with FDL buffers,” in *Proceedings of 28th European Conference on Optical Communication 2002 (ECOC2002)*, Sept. 2002.
- [5] H. Nakamoto, K. Baba, and M. Murata, “Shared memory access method for a computing environment,” in *Proceedings of IFIP Optical Networks and Technologies Conference (OpNeTec)*, pp. 210–217, Oct. 2004.
- [6] E. Taniguchi, K. Baba, and M. Murata, “Implementation and evaluation of shared memory system for establishing lambda computing environment,” Tech. Rep. 255, IEICE, Aug. 2004.
- [7] M. S. Papamarcos and J. H. Patel, “A low-overhead coherence solution for multiprocessors with private cache memories,” in *Proceedings of IEEE 11th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, (New York), pp. 348–354, 1984.
- [8] S. S. Thakkar and M. Sweiger, “Performance of an OLTP application on symmetry multiprocessor system,” *17th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 228–238, May 1990.
- [9] M. Wakabayashi and H. Amano, “Environment for multiprocessor simulator development,” *I-SPAN 2000*, pp. 64–71, Dec. 2000.
- [10] S. C. Woo, M. Ohara, E. Torrie, J. P. Singh, and A. Gupta, “The SPLASH-2 programs: Characterization and methodological considerations,” in *Proceedings of the 22nd International Symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 24–36, June 1995.