Fluid-Based Analysis of a Network with DCCP Connections and RED Routers

Hiroyuki Hisamatsu

Graduate School of Information Science and Technology Osaka University, Japan

Background

- Real-time applications
 - Have been widely deployed
 - Use either UDP or TCP
- Internet: best effort network
 - Network applications should have a congestion control mechanism

UDP (User Datagram Protocol)

- Simple protocol for datagram transfer
- Doesn't have a congestion control mechanism
- We should implement some congestion control mechanism on application layer

TCP (Transmission Control Protocol)

- Has a congestion control mechanism
 - Adjust its packet transmission rate
- Designed for data transfer applications
 - Can tolerate a certain amount of delays
- AIMD window flow control
- Packet transmission rate fluctuates
 - Serious problem for a real-time applications

DCCP (Datagram Congestion Control Protocol)

Transport-layer protocols for real-time applications

rate

- Can choose congestion control mechanism
 - TCP-like congestion control profile
 - AIMD window control
 - TFRC congestion control profile
 - TCP-friendly rate control



fluctuating

time

RED (Random Early Detection)

- Representative AQM mechanism
 - Probabilistically discards an arriving packet
- High throughput can be achieved
- Average queue length can be kept small
 - Decrease the end-to-end transmission delay





Objective

- Analyze steady state performance of DCCP/RED
 - Derive packet transmission rate, packet loss probability
- Analyze transient state performance of DCCP/RED
 - Investigate parameter region where DCCP/RED operate stably
 - Evaluate transient state performance of DCCP/RED
 - ramp-up time, overshoot, settling time

Analytic Model



Modeling DCCP with TCP-like Congestion Control Profile

- x(k): input (arrival rate of ACK packets)
- y(k): output (transmission rate of data packets)
- R: round-trip time



Modeling DCCP with TFRC Congestion **Control Profile**

• x(k): input (arrival rate of ACK packets)

10

- y(k): output (transmission rate of data packets)
- R: round-trip time packet loss • Λ : time slot event rate y(k) = g(x(k), y(k), R)= X(pe(k), R) $pe(k) = h\left(1 - \frac{x(k)}{y(k - \frac{R}{\lambda})}\right)$ X(pe(k), R) = $\overline{R\sqrt{\frac{2pe(k)}{3}}} + t_{RTO}\left(3\sqrt{\frac{3pe(k)}{8}}pe(k)(1+32pe(k)^2)\right)$ **Retransmission timer SAINT2006**

Modeling RED Router

- x(k): input (packet arrival rate)
- y(k): output (packet departure rate)
- minth, maxth, maxp, wq: RED control parameters

$$\begin{split} \bullet \Delta : \text{time slot} \quad y(k) &= g(x(k), R) \\ &= \min(x(k), \mu) \end{split} \text{ average queue length} \\ q(k+1) &= \min\left[\max\left\{q(k) + N x(k) \Delta, 0\right\}, L\right] \\ \overline{q}(k+1) &\simeq \overline{q}(k) + N x(k) \Delta w_q(q(k) - \overline{q}(k)) \end{aligned} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{packet loss} \\ \text{probability} \end{array} \\ p_b(k) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q(k) < \min_{th} \\ \frac{max_p}{max_{th} - min_{th}} (\overline{q}(k) - min_{th}) \\ \text{if } min_{th} \leq \overline{q}(k) < max_{th} \\ 1 & \text{if } \overline{q}(k) \geq max_{th} \end{cases} \begin{array}{l} \text{current queue length} \\ 2006/1/24 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Steady State Analysis

- y_D^* , y_R^* : Output of DCCP and RED in steady state
- $y_D(k)$, $y_R(k)$: Output of DCCP and RED at time slot k
- $x_D(k)$, $x_R(k)$: Input of DCCP and RED at time slot k
- *N*: number of DCCP connections
- Obtain y_D^* , y_R^* by solving equations:

$$y_D(k+1) = y_D(k) = y_D^*, x_D(k) = \frac{y_R^*}{N}$$

$$y_R(k+1) = y_R(k) = y_D^*, x_R(k) = N y_D^*$$

Transient State Analysis: DCCP with TFRC Congestion Control Profile (1/2)

- Assume TFRC notifies its source host of feedback information every M slots
- Linearize models around equilibrium points
- Obtain the transition matrix from slot k to slot k+m $x(k+M) = AB^{M-1}x(k)$
 - A: state transition matrix when DCCP source host receives feedback information
 - B: state transition matrix when DCCP source host doesn't receive feedback information

Transient State Analysis: DCCP with TFRC Congestion Control Profile (2/2)

- Eigen values of AB^{M-l} determine transient state behavior
 - s : the maximum absolute eigen values of AB^{M-1} , maximum modulus
 - smaller s: better transient behavior
 - *s* < *1*: stable
 - s > 1: unstable

Numerical Examples: DCCP Packet Transmission Rate



2006/1/24

Numerical Examples: Stability Region of DCCP/RED

• TFRC congestion control profile



Transient State Performance Indexes



Numerical Examples: DCCP/RED Transient State Performance

• TFRC congestion control profile



18

Calculation Method of RED Average Queue Length

- Update average queue length for every packet receipt
 - Average: Exponential Weighted Moving Average

$$\overline{q} \leftarrow (1 - w_q) \overline{q} + w_q q$$
 _____ current queue length

average queue length

EWMA weight

• Determine packet loss probability by linear function of Queue Occupancy $\underline{\overline{q}} - min_{th}$,

 $max_{th} - min_{th}$

$$p_{b} = max_{p} \left(\frac{\overline{q} - min_{th}}{max_{th} - min_{th}} \right)$$
packet loss
probability

maxp,minth,maxth: control parameter of RED

RED-IQI: RED with Immediate Queue Information

- Change calculation method of average queue length _ $w_q = 1$
 - Feedback delay of DCCP/RED-IQI becomes small
- Change function that determines packet loss probability

$$p_{b} = max_{p} F\left(\frac{\overline{q} - min_{th}}{max_{th} - min_{th}}\right)$$
$$F(x) = \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - x^{2}}\right)^{\phi}$$
$$(\phi \geq \frac{1}{2})$$

Numerical Examples: Stability Region of DCCP/RED

• TFRC congestion control profile



Conclusion

- Investigate parameter region where DCCP/RED operate stably
- Evaluate transient state performance of DCCP/RED
 - Stability and transient state performance degrade, when weight of EWMA is small
- Propose RED-IQI and Evaluate it
 - RED-IQI improves stability and transient state performance of DCCP/RED-IQI

