A Model of Link Capacities in ISP's Router-level Topology

Shigehiro Hosoki^{*}, Shin'ichi Arakawa^{*} and Masayuki Murata^{*} *Graduate School of Information Science and Technology Osaka University, Osaka, Japan {s-hosoki, arakawa, murata}@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract-Modeling the Internet is vital for network researches. Recent measurement studies on the Internet topology show that the degree distribution obeys the power-law distribution. However, only the degree distribution does not determine the performance of network control methods. As previous studies have shown, one of important factors to characterize the performance of network control methods in the Internet is a structure of topologies. However, other characteristics, which are even more important, are link capacities and node processing capacities of the network because these characteristics are particular to communication networks. In this paper, we investigate how to model the link capacity in the router-level topologies. We first reveal that the link capacity distribution of ISP's backbone network in Japan obeys a power-law with exponent -1.1. To clarify the reason for the link capacity distribution, we evaluate throughput of networks having various kinds of link capacity distributions. Our numerical results show that the network with powerlaw link capacity distribution can accommodate much more traffic than the network with exponential distributions of link canacities.

Keywords-power-law; router-level topology; link capacity; modeling the Internet; traffic demand matrix; lognormal distribution;

I. INTRODUCTION

Modeling the Internet is one of important issues to evaluate network control methods [1]. Since the performance of network control methods strongly depends on Internet topology and capacity of links, a proper network model is necessary to show effectiveness of those methods. Therefore, it is important to reveal characteristics of a communication network and origin of them.

Measurement studies on the Internet topology show that the degree distribution obeys the power-law [2], [3]. That is, the existence probability P(k) of node with k outgoing links approximates to $k^{-\gamma}$ (γ is constant). There are many modeling methods for the power-law topology [4]–[6]. Among them, methods that consider technical constraints of router-level topologies are discussed in [5], [6]. Reference [5] presents a topology generation model where a newly added node connects with existing nodes that minimize the sum of Euclidian distance between the nodes and logical distance from the existing node. The authors demonstrate that the degree distribution obeys the power-law with appropriate parameter settings. However, the topology generated by the model has too many nodes whose degree is one, and thus the topology is much different from the actual ISP topologies [7]. In Ref. [6], the authors enumerate several topologies having the same degree distribution. Then, they evaluate the amount of traffic that the network can accommodate in each topology under the constraint of node processing capacities. The results indicate that the network throughput highly depends on the structure of topologies even though they have the same degree distribution. With the constraint of node processing capacities, a product of node degree and its corresponding link capacities is bounded. Thus, for maximize the traffic that the network can accommodate, non-hub nodes¹ connects with higher-capacity links, and hub-nodes² connects with lower-capacity links. However, the structure of ISP router-level topologies, such as Sprint or AT&T topology, are still different from the structure discussed in Ref. [6], which leads to the differences in the performance of network control methods like routing [8].

Many researches about the modeling method of routerlevel topology have been discussed based on the characteristic that the degree distribution obeys the power-law. In these researches, there was an assumption that link capacities can be ignored, or they are identical. However, the performance of network strongly depends on link capacities because link capacities are characteristics particular to communication networks.

In this paper, we try to understand the characteristic of link capacities in router-level topology. First, we investigate the link capacity distribution in a Japanese ISP network using the capacity information presented in Ref. [9]. Results show that the link capacity distribution also obeys the powerlaw with exponent -1.11. Second, to reveal the reason why the link capacity distribution obeys the power-law, we investigate the network performance under the constraint of link capacities. We generate three distributions of link capacities; power-law, exponential and identical. Then we assign the link capacities to ISP topologies, and compare the network performance. Results show that topologies with power-law link capacity distribution can accommodate more traffic than that with other two distributions. We also reveal that it is insufficient to consider only the constraint of node processing capacities, even though it is discussed in Ref. [6],

¹nodes with small number of links

²nodes with many links

in evaluating the network performance.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we investigate link capacity distribution in a Japanese ISP network. In Section III, we explain about topology generation models and topologies which are used for evaluation. In Section IV, we investigate the difference of network performance by three distributions of link capacities. Section V concludes this paper.

II. LINK CAPACITY DISTRIBUTION IN ISP ROUTER-LEVEL TOPOLOGY

Ref. [9] investigates node processing capacities of a commercial ISP network in Japan. The author uses a disclosed information of link capacities in IIJ (Internet Initiative Japan) [10]. In the paper, a node processing capacity is defined as the sum of link capacity connected to the node. Figure 1, which was also presented in Ref. [9], shows the rank of node processing capacities in 2002. The vertical axis represents the node processing capacity and the horizontal axis represents the rank of that node. We observe that node processing capacity distribution obeys the power-law; its exponent is nearly -2.6.

The result is interesting in that the power-law relationship again appears in the node processing capacity. However, one question arises whether the link capacity distribution follows the power-law or not. To answer this, we show the link capacity distribution in Figure 2; the result shows that the exponent of the link capacity distribution (for 40 higherranking) is -1, i.e., the distribution obeys the zip's law. The figure is obtained by using the information on IIJ backbone network in 2002, thus it is uncertain that other ISP topologies have the observation that the link capacity distribution obeys the zip's law. However, we believe that the observation is general because we can easily derive it by the non-blocking configuration of routers. Supposing that there is one uplink port with the capacity of X in a router and there are α numbers of links, then if the router to be non-blocking, the capacity of each link should be X/α . With this case, the exponent of link capacity distribution becomes -1. Base of this discussion, in the next section, we investigate the performance of networks when the link capacity distribution obeys the power-law with exponent -1.

III. TOPOLOGIES FOR EVALUATION

In this section, we explain topologies used for evaluation.

A. ISP topologies

We prepare two ISP topologies; AT&T and Sprint [8]. AT&T topology has 523 nodes and 1304 links, and Sprint topology has 467 nodes and 1280 links.



Figure 1. Node processing capacity distribution in the IIJ network



Figure 2. Link capacity distribution in the IIJ network

B. Abilene-inspired topology

As a router-level topology, we prepare the Abileneinspired topology that is also evaluated in Ref. [6]. This topology is based on Abilene Network used for higher education. The Abilene-inspired topology has 869 nodes and 877 links.

C. BA topology

Barabasi and Albert proposed a BA model to generate topologies having a power-law degree distribution. We call the BA topology as the topology generated by BA model. The BA model is characterized by two features: Incremental Growth and Preferential Attachment, The model starts with a topology with a small number of nodes and works as follows:

- Step1 Make an initial topology that has m_0 nodes.
- Step2 Incremental Growth: Add a new node at each time step.
- Step3 Preferential Attachment: Connect the new node to

m different nodes chosen with probability Π :

$$\Pi(k_i) = \frac{k_i}{\sum_j k_j} \tag{1}$$

Where k_i is the degree of node *i*.

To follow this process, the topology with its degree distribution obeys the power-law is generated. In this paper, we generate BA topology to be the same number of nodes and links in Abilene-inspired topology. At first we use BA model with $m_0 = 1$, and generate 869 nodes and 868 links topology. Then, nine links are added based on rule of Step3. The topology with 869 nodes and 877 links is generated.

D. FKP topology

The FKP model proposed by Fabrikant et al. [5] revealed that the power-law property of degree distribution can still be obtained by minimizing "distance" metrics. This model does not use preferential attachment to add links, and instead uses minimization-based link attachment. More specifically, the FKP model works as follows. Each new node arrives at randomly in the Euclidean space $\{0,1\}^2$. After arriving at new node *i*, the FKP model calculates the following equation for each node, j, already existing in the network: $\beta \cdot w_{ij} + l_{0j}$, where w_{ij} is the Euclidean distance (i.e., physical distance) between nodes *i* and *j*, and l_{0j} is the hop-counts distance between node j and a pre-specified "root" node (node 0). β is a parameter that weights the importance of physical distance. If β has a lower value, each node tries to connect to higher degree nodes; $\beta = 0$ is an extreme scenario that creates a star-topology. If β has a higher value, each node tries to connect their nearest nodes. A topology with high a β is shown to behave like an ER topology. The power-law property of the degree distribution appears at a moderate value of β value. Here, there are several hub-nodes in each region, and the hub-nodes form a power-law. However, it is mentioned that the number of node whose degree is one is much more than that of ISP topology [6] and the degree distribution is different from that of ISP topology [8].

In this paper, we generate FKP topology to be the same number of nodes and links in Abilene-inspired topology. For this purpose, we modify the FKP model; we first select nine nodes randomly before starting the topology generation and when each of these nine nodes is added, we generate two links between itself and a node satisfying the equation ($\beta \cdot w_{ij} + l_{0j}$), and another next node satisfying the equation. At last, a topology which has 869 nodes and 877 links is generated.

IV. EVALUATION ON LINK CAPACITY DISTRIBUTION

In Section II, we show that the link capacity distribution of Japanese ISP obeys the power-law. For revealing the reason that link capacity distribution obeys power-law, we investigate network performance under the constraint of link capacities. In this paper, we define the network performance



Figure 3. Normalized link capacity distributions

as the amount of traffic that the topology can accommodate. For comparison purpose, we generate three distributions of link capacities; power-law, exponential, and identical where all link capacities are identical. Then, the amount of traffic that the topology can accommodate for each distribution is compared.

A. Link capacity distributions

According to the observation in Section II, we generate link capacity distribution that obeys power-law with exponent the -1. We first prepare m links with the capacity X [bps]. Then, we prepare $\alpha \times m$ links each of which has X/α link capacity. By setting $m \leftarrow \alpha \times m$ and $X \leftarrow X/\alpha$, we repeatedly prepare links. With this case, the exponent of link capacity distribution becomes -1.

For comparison purpose, we generate other two link capacity distributions that obey exponential and identical. Figure 3 shows obtained distributions. The vertical axis represents the link capacity and horizontal axis represents the rank of that link. Note that we normalize the exponential and the identical distributions such that the sum of link capacities in each distribution is equaled.

B. Assigning link capacity

We then assign link capacity to each link in the topology. In this paper, we assume that the link capacity is assigned based on the edge betweenness centrality. More exactly, we assign link capacities in a descending-order to link with the edge betweenness centrality. The edge betweenness centrality is defined as the number of minimum hop paths that pass through the link. That is, the edge betweenness centrality represents the amount of contribution of a link to the communication in the network.

C. Evaluation

We evaluate the amount of traffic that each topology can accommodate under the constraint of link capacities. The traffic demand is generated by log-normal distribution since



Figure 4. The amount of traffic that AT&T topology can accommodate



Figure 5. The amount of traffic that Sprint topology can accommodate

the distribution is known to be suitable for modeling the traffic demand [11]. We change the variance of the lognormal distribution from 0.1 to 10.0 with the step 0.1. The traffic demand is generated for each variance and sum of the traffic demands is normalized to 1. A minimum hop routing is applied to select the route of the traffic. Note that if there are several paths that have the same number of hops, one of them is selected randomly. The result is shown in Figure 4-8. The vertical axis represents the amount of traffic that the topology can accommodate and horizontal axis represents the variance of traffic demand. In these figures, 95% confidence interval is also presented.

In ISP topologies (Figure 4 and Figure 5), when the variance of traffic demand is less than 2, the topology with the power-law link capacity distribution can accommodate more traffic than topologies with other distributions. In Ref. [11], the variance of log-normal distribution is nearly 1 for fitting the observed traffic in real ISPs. Thus, in the realistic situation, the power-law link capacity distribution makes the topology more efficient. The topology with the power-law distribution accommodates the largest traffic among three



Figure 6. The amount of traffic that BA topology can accommodate



Figure 7. The amount of traffic that FKP topology can accommodate

distributions even though the variance of traffic demand changes around 1.0. However, the advantage of the powerlaw distribution decreases and diminishes when the variance is too large since an elephant traffic appears in the traffic matrix. The same results are also observed in Figure 6, 7, 8. From the results of these figures, the power-law link capacity distribution can accommodates larger amount of the traffic than that of other distributions.

D. Effects of node processing constraint

In Ref. [6], it is mentioned that the number of links connected to a router affects capacities of link. Figure 9 shows a relationship between node degree and node processing capacity. Due to the constraint of router technology, a product of node degree and its link capacities is bounded by the node processing capacity. That is, a router having few links can accommodate large capacity links, and a router having small capacity of links can accommodate many links. This section examines the difference of network performance between two constraints for the link capacities and the node processing capacities. We apply the relation in Figure 9 to



Figure 8. The amount of traffic that Abilene-inspired topology can accommodate



Figure 9. Relationship between node degree and node processing capacity

the Sprint topology and Abilene-inspired topology. Figure 10 and Figure 11 show the amount of traffic that the topology can accommodate under the constraint of node processing capacities. For the comparison purpose, we again show the result of previous section in (a) of each figure and the result under the constraint of node processing capacities in (b) of each figure.

Comparison between (a) and (b) of Figure 10 and Figure 11 shows the difference of amount of the traffic that the topology can accommodate with each constraint; the link capacities and the node processing capacities. These figures have a common tendency that amount of the traffic that the topology can accommodate gets smaller as the variance of traffic demand becomes larger. In other three topologies (BA topology, FKP topology and Abilene-inspired topology), the same tendency is observed but are not shown here for the lack of space. However, the amount of traffic that the topology can accommodate under the constraint of link capacities is strongly smaller than that under the constraint of node processing capacities. Thus, evaluation of network



(a) The case of link capacity constraints



(b) The case of node processing capacity constraints

Figure 10. The network performance

performance only the constraint of node processing capacities by its degree is inadequate for network evaluations.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS

Evaluation of the network control method needs proper model of the communication network. In this paper, we focused on link capacity in ISP router-level topology. First, we investigate link capacity distribution in Japanese ISP backbone network. Results show that link capacity distribution in ISP obeys the power-law. Next, for revealing the reason that link capacity distribution obeys power-law, we compared network performance with three link capacity distributions; power-law, exponential and identical. Results show that power-law link capacity distribution can accommodate large amount of the traffic. Moreover, under the constraint of only node processing capacities by its degree, amount of the traffic that the network can accommodate is over estimated. Thus to take characteristic that link capacity



(a) The case of link capacity constraints



(b) The case of node processing capacity constraints

Figure 11. The network performance

distribution obeys power-law into the modeling of routerlevel topology is important to evaluate the network control method properly.

In this paper, we assigned link capacity distribution to AT&T topology and Sprint topology. From the comparison results of network performance under the constraint of link capacities, we showed validity of power-law link capacity distribution in router-level topology. However, we consider that there would be a close relationship between link capacity and structure of topology. For future work, we will reveal the relationship between link capacity and structure in ISP router-level topology, and then we develop the modeling method for the router-level topology.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank to Professor Tetsuya Takine in Osaka University for discussion about exponent of link capacity distribution. This research was supported in part by "Global COE (Centers of Excellence) Program" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan and "Grantin-Aid for Scientific Research (A) 21240004" of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) in Japan.

REFERENCES

- F. Sally and P. Vern, "Difficulties in simulating the Internet," *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, vol. 9, pp. 392–403, Feb. 2001.
- [2] M. Faloutsos, P. Faloutsos, and C. Faloutsos, "On powerlaw relationships of the Internet topology," in *Proceedings of* ACM SIGCOMM'99, pp. 251–262, Oct. 1999.
- [3] B. Zhang, R. Liu, D. Massey, and L. Zhang, "Collecting the Internet AS-level topology," ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, vol. 35, pp. 53–61, Jan. 2005.
- [4] A. L. Barabasi and R. Albert, "Emergence of scaling in random networks," *Science*, vol. 286, pp. 509–512, Oct. 1999.
- [5] A. Fabrikant, E. Koutsoupias, and C. H. Papadimitriou, "Heuristically optimized trade–offs: A new paradigm for power laws in the Internet," in *Proceedings of ICALP'02*, pp. 110–122, July 2002.
- [6] L. Li, D. Alderson, W. Willinger, and J. Doyle, "A firstprinciples approach to understanding the Internet's routerlevel topology," in *Proceedings of ACM SIGCOMM'04*, vol. 34, pp. 3–14, Oct. 2004.
- [7] N. Berger, B. Bollobás, C. Borgs, J. Chayes, and O. Riordan, "Degree distribution of the FKP network model," in *Proceedings of ICALP'03*, pp. 725–738, July 2003.
- [8] R. Fukumoto, S. Arakawa, T. Takine, and M. Murata, "Analyzing and modeling router-level Internet topology," in *Proceedings of ICOIN 2007*, Jan. 2007.
- [9] H. Maruta, "Title in Japanese," *GLOCOM Review*, vol. 9, pp. 1–16, Sept. 2003 (in Japanese). The link capacity information is presented in Japanese. The translated version of the table is available at http://www.anarg.jp/personal/s-hosoki/iijtable.jpg.
- [10] "Internet Initiative Japan," http://www.iij.ad.jp/.
- [11] A. Nucci, A. Sridharan, and N. Taft, "The problem of synthetically generating IP traffic matrices: Initial recommendations," *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, vol. 35, pp. 19–32, July 2005.